



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

MEMORANDUM

DATE: November 3, 2014
SUBJECT: Grant File Closeout Certification
FROM: Maria Roverso, Grants Specialist, MTS-7
TO: George Robin, Project Officer, WTR-9
GRANT NUMBER: G-00921613-2
RECIPIENT: CA Dept. of Conservation-DOG
PROGRAM: FY13-14 Underground Injection Control
BUDGET/PROJECT PERIOD: 10/01/2012 to 09/30/2014

Any unobligated balance will be deobligated by the Las Vegas Finance Center. A copy of the final FSR will be forwarded to you via inter-office mail once received from the LVFC.

Closeout procedures require you to complete and submit this **CLOSE-OUT CERTIFICATION**, and a copy of the **END-OF-YEAR-EVALUATION**, as applicable, for the Official Grant File.

Please complete this memorandum and EMAIL it to me by the due date above. If you have any questions, please contact me at 2-3573. Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING GRANT FILE CLOSEOUT CERTIFICATION

INSTRUCTIONS:

==> PLEASE REVIEW THE FINAL FSR, IF APPLICABLE

==> CHECK ONE OPTION UNDER EACH NUMBER

==> DATE AND EMAIL ENTIRE FORM TO GRANTS SPECIALIST

1. ☒ All programmatic conditions listed in grant award have been met, as applicable.

☐ All programmatic conditions have not been met. The following actions will be taken:

2. ☒ Work plan requirements have been met and close-out should proceed.
Date of Acceptance: {6/25/14}

☐ Workplan requirements have not been met.

☐ EPA and the recipient have negotiated a resolution of the issues.
Closeout may proceed.

☐ Close-out may not proceed until (explain what is needed):

3. ☒ End-of-Year Evaluation (sent copy to GMO on { }).

☐ Final performance or progress report has been submitted by recipient, reviewed and accepted by the project officer on (Date:). A copy of the final performance/progress report will be retained in the official program grant file.

☒ Other (please explain): The End-of-Year Evaluation was not a singular event this year as there are UIC Primacy issues that are outstanding and will remain ongoing for the near future. Note that these "issues" are not affecting the Grant Application directly, but are of the nature of and in the category of historical (and future) records. We did not find the Ca DOGGR delinquent in their Primacy tasks and duties. Nevertheless, through the numerous meetings and exchanges through emails and letters, we were able to maintain an active, ongoing Evaluation.

4. Did EPA authorize any equipment to be purchased by the recipient which, as of the grant expiration date, has a current fair market value in excess of \$5,000 per unit? OR, any supplies with an aggregate value of \$5,000 or more?

☒ Yes

☐ No

5. If yes, please check one of the following disposal options:

☒ There recipient is a State agency and may manage and dispose of equipment and/or aggregate supplies having a value of \$5,000 or more acquired under this Assistance Agreement in accordance with State laws and procedures. The recipient may continue to use the equipment and/or aggregate supplies having a value of \$5,000 or more in the project or program for which it was acquired as long as needed.

☐ The recipient may continue to use the equipment and/or aggregate supplies having a value of \$5,000 or more for other activities currently or previously supported by a Federal Agency.

☐ Because this is a Superfund project and the equipment and/or aggregate supplies having a value of \$5,000 or more is no longer needed, I have discussed disposal options with the recipient per 40 CFR 35.6345. Cite recommended action:

{ George Robin 1-22-15 }

(Name & Date Completed by Project Officer)